

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|                  | APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |   |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| 10/663,626 09/16 |                       | 09/16/2003       | Zoran Minevski       | LYNN/0173.C         | 1915             |   |
|                  | 24945 7590 09/26/2006 |                  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |   |
|                  | STREETS &             | STREETS & STEELE |                      | BUMGARNER, MELBA N  |                  |   |
|                  | 13831 NORTI           | HWEST FREEWAY    |                      |                     |                  | _ |
|                  | SUITE 355             |                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |   |
|                  | HOUSTON, 7            | ΓX 77040         |                      | 3732                |                  |   |

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| い |
|---|
|---|

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons  | 10/663,626  | MINEVSKI ET AL.              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Melba Bumgamer  | 3732                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -·<br>action is non-final.  |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7_   |   | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are pending in the application  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | m nom consideration.  |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-103 are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement  |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | cicotion requirement.   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).               |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   |   | on No                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | te                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 5) Dotice of Informal P   | аселс Аррисасіол             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , 🗀   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/663,626

Art Unit: 3732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-40, 51-67, 102 and 103, drawn to a method for improving the biocompatibility of a surgical implant or component, classified in class 433, subclass 201.1.
  - II. Claims 41-50, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 2.24.
  - III. Claims 68-101, drawn to a biocompatible surgical implant, classified in class 623, subclass 16.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of invention I has separate utility such as a process of anodically treating a surface and invention II has separate utility such as a process of anodically treating a portion of an implant or component and passivating another portion. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of invention III can be made by another process of using different solutions for treatment of surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,626

Art Unit: 3732

4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of invention III can be made by another process of not having separate portions anodically treated and passivated.

Page 3

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

  Species A of an orthopedic implant, Species B of a dental implant, Species C of an orthopedic fixation device, Species D of an orthopedic joint replacement and Species E of a prosthetic disc for spinal fixation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3732

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,626

Art Unit: 3732

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to

Page 5

Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be

reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

melba Bungainer

**Primary Examiner**